

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

o o 5008 (1)	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/575,348	BEZENCON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
RADBAART	SAVITHA RAO	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 April 2006.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	•	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 11-17</u> is/are pending in t	the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	• •	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9 and 11-17</u> are subject to res	triction and/or election requi	rement.
•		
Application Papers		·
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
•	•	by the Examiner.
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attache	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to a  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attache	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyant rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached sign priority under 35 U.S.C.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to a  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyant rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. ents have been received.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Application No
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to a  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyant rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached in a section is required if the drawing examiner. Note the attached in a section is the section in a s	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Application No
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to a  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum  application from the International Bur	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached in a section is required if the drawing examiner. Note the attached in a section is the section of the control of the cont	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ed Office Action or form PTO-152.  § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  Application No  n received in this National Stage
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to a  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached in a section is required if the drawing examiner. Note the attached in a section is the section of the control of the cont	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Application No In received in this National Stage
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to a  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached in a section is required if the drawing examiner. Note the attached in a section is the section of the control of the cont	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ed Office Action or form PTO-152.  § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  Application No  n received in this National Stage
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to a  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum  * See the attached detailed Office action for a  Attachment(s)  D) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyant rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached ign priority under 35 U.S.C. ents have been received, ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ed Office Action or form PTO-152.  § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  Application No  n received in this National Stage  t received.  Summary (PTO-413)
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord of the sheet of the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyant rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached ign priority under 35 U.S.C. ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not the specified copies not the specified copies in	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ed Office Action or form PTO-152.  § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  Application No  n received in this National Stage  t received.

Art Unit: 1614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-7, 9 and 11-17 are currently pending in the instant application and are subject to a lack of unity requirement.

# **Election Restrictions**

### REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

# When Claims Are Directed to Multiple Categories of Inventions:

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

(1)A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

(2)A product and process of use of said product; or

(3)A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product,

and a use of the said product; or

(4)A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the

said process; or

(5)A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product,

and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are

not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect

a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

I. Group I: Claims 1-7, 11-17 are drawn to novel tetrahydropyridine compounds

according to formula (I) below. Please note additional Election of Species

Requirement 1 outlined below.

Art Unit: 1614

II. Group II: Claim 9 is drawn to a method of treatment or prophylaxis of diseases comprising the administration to a patient of a pharmaceutically active amount of compound of Group I above. Please note additional Election of Species

Requirement 1 and 2 outlined below.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Groups I and II lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require the technical feature of the compound of following structure where W represents a phenyl or heteroaryl ring, the heteroarylring being a six-membered and non-fused ring, the phenyl ring and the heteroaryl ring are substituted with V in position 3 or 4. (instant claim1)

Art Unit: 1614

This technical feature is not a special technical feature as it does not make a contribution over the prior art in view of Bezencon et al. (WO 2004/002957). Benzencon teaches novel tetrahydropyridine derivatives as renin inhibitors particularly to compounds of general formula I shown below (page 3, lines 9-15)

The core technical feature that is being claimed is taught by the prior art. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in

Art Unit: 1614

accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper. Therefore, since the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical feature, the claims therefore lack unity of invention.

# **Election of Species**

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1. Specie election 1: This specie election applies to Groups I-II detailed in the restriction requirement above. Applicant is required to elect single disclosed specie of the tetrahydropyridine compound wherein every variable substituents in the structure above is clearly defined such as; Example 1. 8-{4-[3-(2-Chloro-3,6-difluorophenoxy)propyl]phenyl}-5-azaspiro[2,5]oct-7-ene-7-carboxylic acid cyclopropyl-[2-(2-hydroxypropoxy)-3-methylpyridin-4-ylmethyl]amide recited in instant specification page 31, lines 30-33, and in the instant claim 15.
- 2. Specie election 2: This specie election applies only if electing Group II detailed in the restriction requirement above. Applicant is required to elect one

**Art Unit: 1614** 

single disclosed species of the disease from those listed in instant claim 9. For e.g. Congestive heart failure.

The species are structurally divergent, differ in their physical, chemical and biological properties and activities and thereby require searching in different class/subclasses and use of different search queries. Additionally, the different properties of the claimed species would also result in different efficacies and bioavailability profiles. In the instant case, the reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: 1-6 and 9.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii)identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

## Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process

Art Unit: 1614

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101,102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b).

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAVITHA RAO whose telephone number is (571)270-5315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin

Art Unit: 1614

Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SAVITHA RAO/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614

# AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

RECEIVE OF ROLL CENTER

HOXI374\* 070414004 1607 16 09/25/08
FORWARD TIME EXP RTN TO SEND
HOXIE & TSO LLP
75 MAIN ST STE 301
MILLEURN NJ 07041-6370
RETURN TO SENDER